

Rating Action: Moody's changes Iceland's outlook to positive from stable and affirms A3 ratings

20 Jul 2018

New York, July 20, 2018 -- Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's") has today changed the Government of Iceland's sovereign rating outlook to positive from stable and affirmed Iceland's A3 long-term issuer rating. The government's long-term senior unsecured debt rating of A3 and the (P)A3 and (P)Prime-2 ratings assigned to its medium-term note (MTN) programme have also been affirmed.

The key drivers for the change in the rating outlook to positive from stable are:

1. Improving economic resilience afforded by a net external creditor position, more balanced growth and ongoing strengthening of the country's banking system
2. Anticipated further improvement in the government's debt metrics beyond what was previously expected

The positive outlook also reflects the progress made in the past two years on the major preconditions we laid out at the time of the upgrade to A3 in September 2016, including the smooth removal of capital controls and the settlement of most offshore krónur obligations.

The A3 rating affirmation balances the above positive trends against ongoing challenges related to the country's small size and its relative lack of economic diversification, uncertainties regarding the tourism sector's ability to successfully adjust to a lower and more sustainable pace of expansion, competitiveness challenges arising from the upcoming rounds of wage negotiations and its inherent vulnerability to excessive capital flows.

Iceland's A3/P-2 long- and short-term ceilings for foreign currency bonds and deposits and its A1 country ceilings for long-term local currency bonds and deposits remain unchanged.

RATINGS RATIONALE

RATIONALE FOR CHANGING THE OUTLOOK TO POSITIVE FROM STABLE

FIRST DRIVER: IMPROVING ECONOMIC RESILIENCE AFFORDED BY NET EXTERNAL CREDITOR POSITION AND MORE BALANCED GROWTH

The first driver for the positive outlook is that Iceland's resilience to global or domestic shocks has been improving in recent years thanks to the effective extrication of the economy from the 2008 banking crisis. Although the economy is still not very diversified, particularly from the standpoint of export composition, there are now three rather than two main pillars contributing to exports with the development of the tourism sector.

Another element of the economy's improving resilience is the shift in the country's external position, which has moved to a net creditor from a large debtor position in recent years, despite the removal of capital controls and the settlement of most of the offshore krónur. The external position on both a stock (net IIP surplus of 9% of GDP) and flow (current account surplus of 3+% of GDP) basis is much healthier than was the case previously whenever growth was robust, which bodes well for a continued reduction in economic volatility.

Iceland's banking system is also better regulated, posing significantly less risk to financial stability. In our assessment of banking sector risk, we incorporate our aggregate analysis of the Icelandic banking system developed from publicly available information. Strong capitalization, healthy liquidity buffers and lower NPLs at the three main domestic money banks (DMBs) are somewhat tempered, however, by the banking sector's concentration within these three institutions and high reliance on wholesale funding.

SECOND DRIVER: ANTICIPATED FURTHER IMPROVEMENT IN THE GOVERNMENT'S DEBT METRICS BEYOND WHAT WAS PREVIOUSLY EXPECTED

The second driver for changing the rating outlook to positive from stable is the expectation of a more rapid improvement in Iceland's fiscal flexibility than Moody's had anticipated at the time of the last upgrade two years ago. Given the small size of the economy and its currency area, Iceland is vulnerable to shifts in the global

economy that would leave larger countries unaffected, making it all the more important for the government debt to be low and the external position to be roughly balanced or in surplus.

Moody's expects Iceland's government debt will continue to decline in the next 1-5 years based on the official fiscal strategy that stipulates nominal government surpluses of 1%-1.5% of GDP over the entire term of the current government. This is approximately the same strategy pursued by each of the two previous administrations. The government finance outcomes are benefiting from healthy growth and some one-offs, which make the government's strategy highly realistic in Moody's view. Should the economy and budgetary outcomes perform as expected, general government debt to GDP should decline to about 36% by the end of next year, positioning the country favorably related to A3-rated peers. If realized, asset sales could potentially lead to further strengthening of the country's fiscal strength in the coming years.

RATIONALE FOR AFFIRMING THE A3 RATING

The A3 rating affirmation reflects a balance of the above positive trends against ongoing challenges related to the country's very small size, and therefore its vulnerability to destabilizing capital flows, and its relative lack of economic diversification, which will be tested as the tourism sector matures and adjusts to more sustainable growth trends.

The rationale for affirming the rating is that despite the improving credit trends, certain risks exist that require ongoing evaluation over the next 12-18 months. The first risk is the possibility of destabilizing capital inflows should the authorities eliminate the current mechanism that discourages speculative capital inflows attracted by the country's relatively high interest rates. Also, in the event that high real wage increases are awarded in the upcoming rounds of wage negotiations, labor cost rises could add to the competitiveness challenges already facing the economy from the arguably overvalued exchange rate.

Developments in the tourism sector over the next year will give better visibility on how the economy can effectively adjust to the recent slowdown in the sector's growth, what the domestic economic and financial repercussions might be and whether new airline routes and expansion into new regions of the world will allow for continued growth of the sector at a more economically sustainable pace. A risk to the Icelandic credit profile would be an outright contraction rather than just a slowdown in tourist arrivals. This is particularly important to the country's economic strength given that the sector accounted for more than 40% of exports of goods and services last year and an increasingly larger share of economic activity.

WHAT WOULD CHANGE THE RATING UP/DOWN

We would consider upgrading Iceland's A3 ratings should the authorities achieve the expected further improvement in the government's debt metrics and be successful in managing a soft landing of the economy amidst the maturation of the tourism sector and the coming wage round without a material degradation of the external position.

We would consider removing the positive outlook or downgrading Iceland's A3 ratings should a disruptive slowdown or outright contraction in tourist revenues or other economic shocks were to weaken public or external debt sustainability or threaten financial stability, particularly should Iceland again have to resort to broad capital controls.

SUMMARY OF MINUTES FROM RATING COMMITTEE

GDP per capita (PPP basis, US\$): 51,842 (2017 Actual) (also known as Per Capita Income)

Real GDP growth (% change): 3.6% (2017 Actual) (also known as GDP Growth)

Inflation Rate (CPI, % change Dec/Dec): 1.9% (2017 Actual)

Gen. Gov. Financial Balance/GDP: 1.5% (2017 Actual) (also known as Fiscal Balance)

Current Account Balance/GDP: 3.4% (2017 Actual) (also known as External Balance)

External debt/GDP: n/a

Level of economic development: High level of economic resilience

Default history: No default events (on bonds or loans) have been recorded since 1983.

On 17 July 2018, a rating committee was called to discuss the rating of the Iceland, Government of. The main points raised during the discussion were: The issuer's economic fundamentals, including its economic strength, have not materially changed. The issuer's institutional strength/ framework, have not materially changed. The issuer's fiscal or financial strength, including its debt profile, has increased. The issuer's susceptibility to event risks has not materially changed.

The principal methodology used in these ratings was Sovereign Bond Ratings published in December 2016. Please see the Rating Methodologies page on www.moodys.com for a copy of this methodology.

The weighting of all rating factors is described in the methodology used in this credit rating action, if applicable.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the credit rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular credit rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

For any affected securities or rated entities receiving direct credit support from the primary entity(ies) of this credit rating action, and whose ratings may change as a result of this credit rating action, the associated regulatory disclosures will be those of the guarantor entity. Exceptions to this approach exist for the following disclosures, if applicable to jurisdiction: Ancillary Services, Disclosure to rated entity, Disclosure from rated entity.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Kristin Lindow
Senior Vice President
Sovereign Risk Group
Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
250 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10007
U.S.A.
JOURNALISTS: 1 212 553 0376
Client Service: 1 212 553 1653

Yves Lemay
MD - Sovereign Risk
Sovereign Risk Group
JOURNALISTS: 44 20 7772 5456
Client Service: 44 20 7772 5454

Releasing Office:
Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
250 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10007
U.S.A.
JOURNALISTS: 1 212 553 0376

Client Service: 1 212 553 1653



© 2018 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees,

agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.